

- 1 26 July 2018
- 2 EMA/CAT/GTWP/671639/2008 Rev. 1
- 3 Committee for Advanced Therapies (CAT)

# Guideline on quality, non-clinical and clinical aspects of medicinal products containing genetically modified cells

6 7

Adoption by CAT	13 April 2012
Date for coming into effect	1 November 2012
Consultation with CAT, BWP (Rev. 1)	14-16 March 2018
Draft adopted by CAT (Rev. 1)	20 July 2018
Draft adopted by CHMP for release for consultation (Rev. 1)	26 July 2018
Start of public consultation (Rev. 1)	31 July 2018
End of consultation (deadline for comments) (Rev. 1)	31 July 2019

8

Comments should be provided using this <u>template</u>. The completed comments form should be sent to: <u>CATsecretariat@ema.europa.eu</u> by 31 July 2019.

131415

Keywords	Genetically modified cell, advanced therapy, gene therapy, cell
	therapy, somatic cell, quality, non-clinical, clinical



Guideline on quality, non-clinical and clinical aspects of medicinal products containing genetically modified cells

## Table of contents

20	Executive Summary	4
21	1. Introduction (background)	4
22	2. Scope	5
23	3. Legal basis	5
24	4. Quality Aspects	7
25	4.1. Materials	
26	4.1.1. Starting materials	7
27	4.1.2. Other materials, reagents and excipients	8
28	4.2. Manufacturing Process	8
29	4.2.1. Cell preparation and culture	9
30	4.2.2. Genetic modification	10
31	4.2.3. Further manufacturing steps	10
32	4.2.4. In process controls	10
33	4.2.5. Process validation	11
34	4.2.6. Changes in manufacturing process	11
35	4.3. Characterisation	13
36	4.3.1. Identity	
37	4.3.2. Purity	
38	4.3.3. Potency	
39	4.4. Quality Controls	
40	4.5. Stability Studies	16
41	5. Non-Clinical Aspects	. 17
42	5.1. Pharmacodynamics and Pharmacokinetics	17
43	5.2. Toxicology	18
44	5.3. Product class-specific considerations	20
45	6. Clinical Aspects	. 22
46	6.1. General Considerations	22
47	6.2. Dose selection	23
48	6.3. Pharmacodynamics	24
49	6.4. Pharmacokinetics	24
50	6.5. Clinical Efficacy	25
51	6.6. Clinical Safety	25
52	6.7. Clinical Follow-up	26

53	7. Pharmacovigilance		
54	8. Environmental Risk Assessment	26	
55	Annex I: Special clinical considerations on CAR-T-cells	28	
56			

## 57 Executive Summary

- 58 This guideline defines scientific principles and provides guidance for the development and evaluation of
- 59 medicinal products containing genetically modified cells intended for use in humans and presented for
- 60 marketing authorisation. Its focus is on the quality, nonclinical aspects and safety and efficacy
- 61 requirements of genetically modified cells developed as medicinal products.
- 62 The quality section addresses the requirements specific to the genetic modification of the target cell
- 63 population and to the transduced cell product resulting from the manufacturing process.
- 64 The non-clinical section addresses non-clinical studies required to assess the proof-of-concept and
- biodistribution of the product, to identify potential target organs of toxicity, and to obtain information
- on dose selection for clinical trials, to support the route of administration and application schedule.
- 67 The clinical section addresses the requirements for studying pharmacological properties of the cell itself
- and the transgene. The requirements for efficacy studies emphasise that the same principles apply as
- 69 for the clinical development of any other medicinal product, especially those of current guidelines
- 70 relating to specific therapeutic areas. The clinical section further addresses the safety evaluation of the
- 71 product as well as the principles for follow up and the pharmacovigilance requirements.
- 72 This is the first revision of the guideline undertaken and it intends to include recent developments in
- 73 the area of genetically modified cells in general. The quality section has been updated to take account
- 74 of the evolution of science and regulatory experience with an emphasis on starting materials (also
- 75 considering implications for genome editing reagents/tools), comparability and validation. The
- 76 nonclinical section has been supplemented with current thinking on the requirements to conduct
- 77 nonclinical studies and a specific section (6.3) on the scientific principles and guidance for CAR-T cell
- 78 and TCR products, induced pluripotent stem cell derived cell-based products and cell-based products
- 79 derived from genome editing. The clinical section has been updated considering the experience of
- 80 recent scientific advices and MAAs. An Annex on clinical aspects specific to CAR-T cells has been
- prepared and included.

## 1. Introduction (background)

- 83 Genetically modified cells are being developed using the target genetic sequence either for therapeutic
- 84 use (gene therapy medicinal products) or for manufacturing purposes in the development of a cell
- therapy / tissue engineering product.
- 86 Listed below are some examples of medicinal products containing genetically modified cells (GMC) that
- have been used in clinical trials:
  - genetically modified cells for treatment of monogeneic inherited disease
- 89 genetically modified dendritic cells and cytotoxic lymphocytes for cancer immunotherapy
- 90 genetically modified autologous chondrocytes for cartilage repair; genetically modified progenitor
- cells for cardio-vascular disease treatment or for *in vivo* marking studies, particularly for *in vivo*
- 92 biodistribution or in vivo differentiation analysis; genetically modified osteogenic cells for bone
- 93 fracture repair
- genetically modified cells which contain a suicide gene that can be activated in certain conditions to
   support the safe use of the product

96

82

- 97 This guideline defines scientific principles and provides guidance to applicants developing medicinal
- 98 products containing genetically modified cells. It is recognised that this is an area under constant
- 99 development and guidance should be applied to any novel product as appropriate.
- For the purpose of this guideline, human and xenogeneic cells and tissues are referred to as "cells".
- The terms "vector" and "genes" are used in the meaning of "nucleic acids" as defined in Annex I to
- 102 Directive 2001/83/EC as amended.
- 103 The following steps are usually carried out ex vivo to modify gene sequences in cells: (1) cells are
- 104 selected or isolated from a suitable donor (either human or animal) or sourced from a bank of primary
- cells or tissues; (2) cells are prepared for gene transfer, e.g. by expansion in culture; (3) the target
- gene through a suitable vector/via a particular technique is modified in the cells; (4) the genetically
- modified cells are further processed, formulated and sometimes stored.
- The risk posed by the administration of genetically modified cells depends on the origin of the cells, the
- type of vector and/or the method used for the genetic modification, the manufacturing process, the
- 110 non-cellular components and the specific therapeutic use. A risk-based approach to product
- development may be carried out. Specific guidance is given in the guideline on the risk-based approach
- according to Annex I, part IV of Directive 2001/83/EC applied to Advanced Therapy Medicinal Products
- 113 (EMA/CAT/CPWP/686637/2011). The variety of the final products can lead to very different levels of
- 114 risks for the patient, the medical personnel or the general population. This variety means that the
- development plans and evaluation requirements need to be adjusted on a case by case basis according
- to a multifactorial risk-based approach.

# 119 **2. Scope**

117

118

131

132

- The scope of this document is on medicinal products that contain genetically modified cells. Its focus is
- on quality, non-clinical and clinical aspects of genetically modified cells. All cases of genetically
- modified cells intended for use in humans are included, no matter whether the genetic modification has
- been carried out for therapeutic or other (e.g. for enhanced manufacturing) purposes.
- Genetic modifications can be obtained through a variety of methods (e.g. viral & non-viral vectors,
- mRNA, genome editing tools). The genetically modified cells can be of human origin (autologous or
- allogeneic) or animal origin (xenogeneic cells), either primary or established cell lines. Genetically
- modified cells of bacterial origin are excluded from the scope of this guideline. In a medicinal product,
- 128 the genetically modified cells can be presented alone or combined with medical devices.
- 129 The requirements described in this document are those relating to market authorisation application but
- principles may apply to development stages.

## 3. Legal basis

- 133 This guideline should be read in conjunction with the introduction, general principles and part IV of the
- Annex I to Directive 2001/83/EC as amended by Directive 2009/120 EC, with the Regulation on
- 135 Advanced Therapy Medicinal Products (EC) No 1394/2007 and with other relevant EU quidelines,
- especially those on:

- Guideline on human cell-based medicinal products (EMEA/CHMP/410869/2006) for all issues related to the cellular part of genetically modified cells;
- Guideline on xenogeneic cell therapy medicinal products (EMEA/CHMP/CPWP/83508/2009)
   when a xenogeneic cell product is concerned;
- Reflection Paper on stem cell-based medicinal products (EMA/CAT/571134/2009);
- Guideline on the quality, non-clinical and clinical aspects of gene therapy medicinal products (EMA/CAT/80183/2014);
- Vector specific EMA guidelines and European Pharmacopoeia (E.P.) texts on gene transfer and cell-based product including the European Pharmacopoeia general chapter 5.14;
- Guideline on the risk-based approach according to Annex I, part IV of Directive 2001/83/EC
   applied to Advanced Therapy Medicinal Products (EMA/CAT/CTWP/686637/2011);
  - Guideline on non-clinical studies required before first clinical use of gene therapy medicinal products (EMEA/CHMP/GTWP/125459/2006);
    - Guideline on non-clinical testing for inadvertent germline transmission of gene transfer vectors (EMEA/273974/2005);
    - Guideline on follow-up of patients administered with gene therapy medicinal products (EMEA/CHMP/GTWP/60436/2007);
    - Guideline on the evaluation of anticancer medicinal products in man (EMA/CHMP/205/95/Rev.4);
    - Guideline on safety and efficacy follow-up risk management of advanced therapy medicinal products (EMEA/149995/2008);
    - Eudralex Volume 4 of the Rules Governing Medicinal Products in the European Union Guidelines on Good Manufacturing Practice specific to Advanced Therapy Medicinal Products;
    - Eudralex Volume 10 of The Rules Governing Medicinal Products in the European Union –
      Clinical trials, Good pharmacovigilance practice (GVP) guidelines
      (https://ec.europa.eu/health/documents/eudralex/vol-9\_en)
    - Guideline on scientific requirements for the environmental risk assessment of gene therapy medicinal products (CHMP/GTWP/125491/2006).

In addition, the donation, procurement and testing of cells from human origin must comply with the overarching Directive 2004/23/EC and technical directives drawn from it, Directives 2006/17/EC and 2006/86/EC. Where components from human blood are used as starting material, the collection,

testing, processing, storage and distribution of human blood and blood cells must comply with the

169 Directive 2002/98/EC.

170171

148

149

150

151

152153

154

155

156

157

158159

160

161

162

163

## 4. Quality Aspects

#### 4.1. Materials

172

173

174

#### 4.1.1. Starting materials

- 175 Genetically modified cells can be produced by ex vivo gene transfer or via ex vivo genome editing
- technologies. For both procedures, different categories of starting materials are used.
- 177 This includes the human or animal cells and the tools (e.g. vectors, mRNA) used to genetically modify
- them. The latter might be different and will depend on the procedure for genetic manipulation used.
- 179 For ex vivo gene transfer, the starting materials shall be, as appropriate, the vector (e.g. viral or non-
- viral vector), the mRNA and the components to produce them.
- For genome editing approaches, the starting materials shall be, as appropriate, the vector (viral or
- non-viral vector) carrying the nucleic acid sequences encoding the modifying enzyme, the mRNA
- 183 expressing the modifying enzyme, the modifying enzyme itself, the genetic sequence for modification
- of the cell genome (e.g. a regulatory guide RNA) or a ribonucleoprotein (e.g. Cas9 protein pre-
- 185 complexed with gRNA), the repair template (e.g. linear DNA fragment or a plasmid), and the
- 186 components to produce them. When vectors mRNA or proteins are used, the principles of good
- manufacturing practice shall apply from the bank system used to produce these materials onwards.
- 188 For medicinal products based on induced pluripotent stem (iPS) cells generated by genetic
- modification, the principles of good manufacturing practice and the scientific recommendations given in
- this guideline should apply after procurement of the cells including the generation of iPS cells and the
- subsequent selection process. It is acknowledged that at the early steps in iPS cells generation, cell
- material may be limited and availability of samples may impact on the extent of testing and process
- 193 qualification. The Guidelines on Good Manufacturing Practice specific to Advanced Therapy Medicinal
- 194 Products according to Eudralex Volume 4 should be considered.
- 195 For the manufacture of active substances consisting of genetically modified cells derived from
- 196 genetically modified animals, good manufacturing practice shall apply after their procurement and
- 197 testing according to the guideline on xenogeneic cell-based medicinal products
- 198 (EMEA/CHMP/CPWP/83508/2009). Where cells or tissues of human origin are used, the guidance given
- in the guideline on human cell-based medicinal products (EMEA/CHMP/410869/2006) should be
- 200 followed.
- For combined ATMP containing genetically modified cells, additional substances (e.g. scaffolds,
- 202 matrices, devices, biomaterials, biomolecules and/or other components) which are combined with the
- 203 manipulated cells, of which they form an integral part, shall be considered as starting materials, even if
- 204 not of biological origin (definition as laid down in 2009/120/EC directive). They should be qualified for
- their intended use as recommended in the guideline on human cell-based medicinal products
- 206 (EMEA/CHMP/410869/2006).
- 207 Starting materials used for the production of genetically modified cells and genome edited products
- shall be carefully qualified to assure a consistent manufacturing process. The amount of data to be
- provided for each starting material is the same as required for, respectively, the drug substance of a
- 210 cell-based medicinal product and the drug substance of an in vivo gene therapy medicinal product.
- When using pre-complexed ribonucleoprotein, as might take place during genome editing procedure,
- 212 the amount of data to be provided for each starting material (e.g. recombinant protein and guide RNA)

- is also the same as required for the drug substances of a biologic medicinal product and a chemical
- 214 medicinal product, respectively. Detailed information should be provided on the manufacturing
- process, control of materials, characterisation, process development, control of critical steps, process
- validation, analytical procedures, and stability. Starting materials characterisation and quality control
- data should be included in the Common Technical Document (CTD) under the heading of "control of
- 218 materials", either when produced in house or supplied by another manufacturer.
- 219 Whether using an ex vivo gene transfer procedure or genome editing technologies, the type of delivery
- 220 vector or vehicle used for ex vivo genetic modification should be justified based on the target cells, the
- 221 expected genomic modification, the clinical indication, etc. The molecular design of the transfer vector
- should be driven by safety and efficacy criteria. When using integrating vectors, an appropriate design
- to reduce the risks deriving from insertional mutagenesis and to increase vector safety (e.g. Self-
- 224 Inactivating (SIN) vectors) is recommended. Likewise, when stable expression of a particular protein is
- 225 not desirable (as is the case during genome editing), an appropriate design of the encoded DNA
- 226 binding domains of the modifying enzyme and of the small guide RNA to increase the modifying
- 227 enzyme selectivity, and consequently to reduce off-target DNA modifications, is highly recommended.
- 228 For transient production of lentivirus (LV), retrovirus (RV), adeno-associated virus (AAV) or other viral
- 229 vectors from producer cell lines, the sequence of plasmids used to provide vector function(s) should be
- 230 verified before their use in the transient production. For the production of recombinant mRNA or
- proteins, the coding sequences of the plasmids used should be verified before their use in the transient
- 232 production.

251

- The use of unrelated DNA sequences, such as selection markers, that can end up in the final
- genetically-modified cells should be avoided unless justified.
- 235 Prior to its use, the transfer vector should be shown to be free from any unwanted viral contamination,
- 236 including helper or hybrid viruses such as in AAV production systems, adventitious contamination or
- 237 replication-competent vectors for vectors intended to be replication deficient. For the latter, a
- validated, sensitive assay (or combination of assays), such as a quantitative PCR assay complemented
- with an infectivity assay in permissive cells, should be used. Use of non-purified transfer vectors in the
- transduction process should be avoided.

## 4.1.2. Other materials, reagents and excipients

- 242 Materials and reagents used for the cell culture, transduction process and subsequent steps should be
- of appropriate quality, following the recommendations given in Ph. Eur. General Chapter 5.2.12.
- Viral safety as well as measures taken to minimise the risk of transmitting agents causing TSE of any
- reagent or material of animal origin should be demonstrated. Recombinant proteins such as enzymes,
- antibodies, cytokines, growth or adhesion factors should be characterised and controlled, where
- appropriate and relevant, in accordance with the principles described in EP 5.2.12.
- When structural components (matrices, scaffolds, devices) are used in manufacture of a medicinal
- product containing genetically modified cells, the requirements defined in the Guideline on cell-based
- 250 medicinal products (EMEA/CHMP/410869/2006) should be followed.

#### 4.2. Manufacturing Process

- 252 The manufacturing process involves steps as for cell-based and gene therapy medicinal products. The
- 253 principles highlighted in applicable guidelines should be followed for the design and control of the
- 254 manufacturing process.

- 255 The procedures for any manipulation should be documented in detail and closely monitored according
- 256 to specified process controls.
- 257 The manufacturing risks may differ according to the type of product, nature/characteristics of the
- starting materials and level of complexity of the manufacturing process. The risk-based approach,
- according to the relevant ATMP guideline (EMA/CAT/CPWP/686637/2011), should be applied for the
- design of the manufacturing process in order to assess the quality attributes and manufacturing
- 261 process parameters and to increase the assurance of routinely producing batches of the intended
- 262 quality.
- 263 If applicable, an adequately controlled starting material storage system should be established to allow
- storage, retrieval and supply without any alteration of intended characteristics.
- 265 The starting material should be stored under controlled and optimal conditions to ensure maintenance
- 266 of critical characteristics for the intended use and, in particular, to ensure an acceptable level of
- 267 consistency in product quality, that should be maintained within the parameters of the clinically tested
- 268 batches. Unintended variability, for example in culture conditions, activation steps, transduction media
- and conditions or vector concentration/transduction efficiency/ Multiplicity of Infection (MOI) during
- 270 production may result in quantitative and/or qualitative differences in the quality of the product or the
- impurities present.
- 272 Replication competent virus (RCV) testing as an in-process test is not deemed necessary, provided that
- absence of RCV has been demonstrated (for example, on the virus stocks) using validated and
- sensitive assay(s). In this case, a risk assessment should be presented to address the potential
- 275 generation of RCVs during manufacturing.
- A clear definition of a production batch from cell sourcing and vector used for labelling of the final
- container should be provided (i.e. size, number of cell passages/cell duplications, pooling strategies,
- 278 batch numbering system).

#### 4.2.1. Cell preparation and culture

- As previously pointed-out, the principles highlighted in applicable guidelines of somatic cell therapy
- 281 medicinal product should be followed for the cell preparation and culture steps of the manufacturing
- 282 process and control.

- 283 Depending on the starting material specific characteristics, additional testing may be required on
- receipt of the cells for use in manufacturing the medicinal product. Specific virological screening and
- any other additional testing performed on the starting material should be proportionate to the risks
- posed by the individual cells and the vector (or other materials) used for genetically modifying the
- cells. An appropriate testing programme should be in place and described.
- 288 Additional manufacturing steps on the starting material may follow (e.g. organ/tissue dissociation,
- 289 enrichment/selection of the cell population of interest, activation/stimulation) for which a
- comprehensive description is expected. In addition, full details of process parameters and in-process
- tests and corresponding numeric operating range/set point and acceptance criteria/action limits to
- ensure the desired product critical quality attributes (CQAs) should be provided.
- 293 Special consideration should be given to the cell characteristics that potentially impinge on the
- 294 subsequent gene transfer steps.

#### 4.2.2. Genetic modification

295

310

324

- 296 The genetic modification of the cells is a manufacturing step that is affected by a variety of inputs and
- therefore its control is critical. Genetic modification efficiency may depend on different factors such as
- 298 target cell features (primary cells or cell lines, adherent or in suspension, dividing or quiescent),
- 299 features of the cell culture (culture system such as flasks or bags, cell seeding density or
- 300 concentration), type and amount of vector and/or modifying enzyme, transfection reagent, time of
- incubation and culture media components.
- 302 Genetic modification can be achieved by a number of approaches (see above). Regardless of the
- 303 system used, all conditions and processing steps should be developed and validated for the intended
- 304 clinical functions and the associated risks of the genetically modified cells.
- 305 A detailed description of any manipulation procedure should be provided. Genetic modification should
- 306 be carried out under validated conditions. When using integrating vectors (e.g.LV and RV), multiplicity
- 307 of infection should be kept at the minimum shown to be effective by transduction efficiency studies and
- 308 clinical studies. For genome editing protocols, generation of on- and off-target modifications should be
- 309 addressed as part of process development and characterisation.

#### 4.2.3. Further manufacturing steps

- 311 After the genetic modification procedure, cells are generally subject to one or more additional steps.
- Examples of such steps are washes to eliminate any possible stable or transient genetic modification
- 313 system-related impurities such as viral vector, plasmids, modifying enzymes, etc., enrichment/
- 314 isolation/purification/selection and culture for further expansion (to allow sufficient cell growth and
- achievement of a target dose) before being formulated and filled into the final containers.
- For bankable genetically modified cells, a cell bank system should be established and controlled
- according to the principles detailed in applicable guidelines.
- For the description and controls of these additional manufacturing steps, the same principles as
- described in the chapter 5.2. apply.
- In some cases, genetic modification is sought through transient means (e.g. in genome editing). If the
- 321 materials used to modify the cells are to be removed in order to obtain the final product, a complete
- description of the methods employed should be provided. Appropriate controls should be introduced to
- demonstrate elimination of the foreign materials.

#### 4.2.4. In process controls

- 325 Process parameters and in-process controls should be identified based on the evaluation and
- 326 understanding of the sources of variability of the CQAs, the risks associated with each CQA and the
- 327 ability of a sufficiently sensitive test for each CQA. The manufacturing process has to be controlled by
- 328 process parameters and in-process controls should remain within their expected ranges in order to
- assure DS/DP quality, process reproducibility and final product homogeneity.
- 330 Physical, chemical, biological or microbiological properties, or characteristics, together with their
- appropriate limit, range, or distribution to ensure the desired product quality (CQAs), should be
- described. Typically CQAs include those properties or characteristics that affect identity, purity,
- biological activity, potency and stability, and are important for DS/DP manufacturing process.

- 334 Appropriate in-process controls should be performed at key intermediate stages of the manufacturing
- process regardless of the manufacturing system used (open/closed), taking into account the DS/DP
- 336 CQAs to ensure DS/DP quality. In-process controls may cover molecular (e.g. genomic integrity,
- identity and stability; VCN; transduction efficiency, on- and off-target modifications), cellular (e.g.
- target cell identity/purity; growth; count; viability), immunological (e.g. immunophenotype), process-
- related (e.g. temperature, pH, medium consumption) and microbiological aspects, as appropriate.

#### 4.2.5. Process validation

- In addition to the requirements described for process validation in the Guideline on human cell-based
- medicinal products (EMEA/CHMP/410869/2006), the following aspects should be addressed, as
- 343 applicable: absence of adventitious viruses, absence of modifying enzymes and nucleic acids, removal
- of infectious particles, release of vector from transduced cells, transduction efficiency, vector copy
- number, transgene identity and integrity (and of other regions as needed), level of transgene
- expression, structure and function of the expressed molecule(s), removal or elimination of the desired
- 347 nucleic acid sequences when appropriate, removal or reduction of impurities associated with the
- 348 genetic modification.
- The frequently encountered limited availability of the cells/tissues and the often limited transduction
- 350 efficiency constitute a challenge to process validation for genetically modified cells. The approach to
- 351 process validation should take into account the quantities of tissue/cells available and should focus on
- gaining maximum experience with the process with each batch processed. Reduced process validation
- 353 should, where possible, be offset by additional in-process testing to demonstrate consistency of
- 354 production.

340

- 355 Different strategies for validation are described in Section 10.3 in the Guidelines on Good
- 356 Manufacturing Practice specific to Advanced Therapy Medicinal Products.
- Where a manufacturing platform is used to manufacture genetically modified cells with viral vectors
- 358 (e.g. same cell population with differences in vector constructs), the extent of additional validation for
- each new product should be based on a justified and documented risk assessment for each significant
- 360 step in the process. This should take into account the extent of process knowledge and previous
- 361 validation efforts. For similar defined manufacturing steps, previously conducted validation may be
- 362 leveraged for closely related products.
- 363 Where automated equipment that is certified for the intended use according to the EU medical device
- 364 legislation (CE mark) is used in a manufacturing process, the obtained validation data might be
- 365 leveraged. However, this applies only if the CE mark is relevant for the purpose. On its own the CE
- 366 mark does not suffice to demonstrate suitability in the context of manufacture of genetically modified
- 367 cells. The validation data required at MAA need to relate to the operating mode and specific setting of
- 368 the automated equipment.

371

- 369 If storage of intermediates occurs, it is necessary to validate the storage conditions (e.g. time,
- temperature) and transport, where applicable.

#### 4.2.6. Changes in manufacturing process

- 372 Development of genetically-modified cell products may encompass changes in the manufacturing
- process of the product itself or changes in the manufacturing of critical starting materials (e.g. viral
- vector, cell source, modifying enzyme) that might impact the quality of the final product. It is
- important that all changes introduced during development are clearly identified within the dossier. In

addition, appropriate comparability studies are needed in order to: i) compare pre- and post-change product and ii) assess the impact of any observed difference on the quality attributes as it relates to safety and efficacy of the product.

379 380

376

377

378

#### Comparability studies

- This section should be read in conjunction with the Note for Guidance on biotechnological/biological products subject to changes in their manufacturing process (CPMP/ICH/5721/03, ICH Topic Q5E).
- 383 Appropriate comparability studies according to the principles outlined in ICH Topic Q5E for
- 384 biotechnological/biological products should be conducted to demonstrate comparability of the pre- and
- 385 post-change product. For all comparative analytical tests performed it is important to consider if the
- 386 methods used are sufficiently sensitive to discern meaningful differences between pre- and post-
- 387 change material.
- 388 Typically, changes in one step of the manufacturing process of either the product itself or the critical
- 389 starting materials will require assessing the impact on all critical in-process controls downstream of the
- 390 change. The extent of the comparability studies should be determined after a risk evaluation to
- 391 estimate the potential impact of the change and the stage of development of the product. When
- 392 differences in the pre- and post-change quality attributes are identified which have a possible adverse
- 393 effect on safety and efficacy of the product, additional non-clinical and/or clinical studies should be
- 394 considered.

396

397

411

Examples of the regulatory expectations with regards to comparability studies are given below.

# 4.2.6.1. Changes in the manufacturing process of the recombinant vector, the mRNA or the modifying enzyme starting materials

- 398 Any change in the manufacturing process of the recombinant vector the mRNA or the modifying
- 399 enzyme should be assessed for its impact on the quality of the final vector/mRNA/enzyme. Appropriate
- 400 comparability studies according to the principles outlined in ICH Topic Q5E for
- 401 biotechnological/biological products should be conducted to demonstrate comparability of the pre- and
- 402 post-change product. These normally involve comparability of the pre- and post-change product at the
- 403 level of release including extended characterisation. Extended characterisation should test for key
- 404 attributes identified in the original characterisation studies. In case they are not part of the release
- specification, comparability for high-risk changes should include, as appropriate: full vector
- sequencing, presence of capsid proteins, absence of replication-competent virus, determination of
- 407 process and product-related impurities as well as stability.
- 408 In addition to the comparability study of the recombinant vector, mRNA or modifying enzyme, studies
- 409 to demonstrate product performance should be undertaken. These include testing transduction
- 410 efficacy, vector copy number, levels of transgene expression, on- and off-target modifications, etc.

#### 4.2.6.2. Changes in the cell starting material

- Changes could affect the cell source (e.g. from bone marrow to mobilized peripheral blood cells), the
- 413 method to isolate the required cell subpopulation(s), the introduction of a freezing step during the
- 414 preparation of the cell starting material, etc. Depending on the results of the risk evaluation, changes
- at the level of the cell starting material may require comparability of in-process characterisation, for
- instance, comparison of purification efficiency between the two methods or quality of the frozen versus
- 417 fresh cells.

- The impact of the change(s) on the quality of the final product should be addressed by comparing pre-
- and post-change products at release and by extended characterization, as explained above. Depending
- on the result of the risk evaluation, comparability of in-process controls may be required.

#### 421 4.2.6.3. Changes in the active substance/finished product manufacturing process

- 422 Every change in the manufacturing process should be assessed for its risk to affect the quality of the
- final product. The results of this assessment will determine the extent of the comparability study. For
- changes concluded to have a high risk, such as a manufacturing site change, comparability between
- pre- and post-change products should include release tests, extended characterisation and in-process
- 426 controls.

433

- In general, studies requiring donor cell material can be performed with cells from healthy donors, if
- 428 appropriately justified. For comparability purposes, the use of split samples from one single cell source,
- 429 obtained either from a single donation or from a pool of several donations, should be considered.
- Where parameters cannot be fully assessed on healthy cells (e.g. transgene expression when intended
- 431 for correction of genetic defects) post-change batches with patient's cells should additionally be
- compared retrospectively with pre-change batches.

#### 4.3. Characterisation

- This section on characterisation should be read in conjunction with the Guideline on human cell-based
- 435 medicinal products (EMEA/CHMP/410869/2006).
- 436 Rigorous characterisation of the genetically modified cell medicinal product (either alone or in
- combination with a medical device) is essential.
- 438 The use of a range of appropriately qualified molecular, biological, and immunological methods for the
- following characteristics should be addressed:
- cell identity and viability
- cell phenotype / morphology
- heterogeneity of the cell population (e.g. percentage of sub-populations)
- proliferation and/or differentiation capacity of the genetically modified cells
- cell functionality (other than proliferation/differentiation, when applicable)
- transduction efficiency (e.g. percentage of transduced cells)
- sequence and integrity of transgene
- 447 genetic stability upon in vitro proliferation and/or differentiation
- identity and activity of the expressed gene product
- vector copy number per transduced cell
- vector integration profile (when applicable)
- 451 vector/transgenes removal or elimination (when applicable)
- 452 vector release from cells

- vector replication competence and possibility of reactivation (unless this has already been demonstrated at the level of the starting material)
- persistence of genome editing tools in the cells
- on-target and off-target genetic modifications
- Vector release and /or vector replication competence data should be discussed in relation to the risk
- for vector shedding/mobilisation. The possibility of virus reactivation should be evaluated and included
- 459 in the risk analysis.
- 460 The vector copy number per cell should be justified in relation to the safety data and the intended use
- 461 of the product. To address the risk deriving from insertional mutagenesis, the integration profile of
- 462 integrating vectors or plasmids should be studied in relation to known oncogenes/tumour suppressor
- 463 genes, where applicable. In some cases, where the genetically modified cells have proliferative
- 464 potential and are intended to sustain an in vivo repopulating or expanding activity, clonality and
- 465 chromosomal integrity of the genetically modified cells may also need to be studied.
- 466 Transduction and transgene expression efficiencies (or in case of genome editing the percentage of
- 467 genetically modified cells) should be justified in relation to clinical efficacy data.
- Homogeneity and genetic stability of transduced/genome edited cells should be thoroughly
- characterised. Any observable unintended changes in cell morphology, functions and behaviour, e.g.
- 470 migration characteristics, of the genetically modified cells when compared with the original unmodified
- cells should be well documented. Any unexpected modification of phenotype,
- 472 proliferation/differentiation properties, and functionality should be investigated and discussed in
- 473 relation to the intended use. Modification-induced increase in (target cell-directed) immune activity
- (e.g. in cancer immunotherapy), should be addressed.
- 475 For cells modified using genome-editing tools, induced off-target changes should be identified using
- 476 appropriate bioinformatics tools for in silico screening as well as deep sequencing techniques of
- 477 genetically modified cells. A comprehensive strategy (including both in silico and experimental
- techniques) to identify off-target sites is expected. This should not be limited to techniques based on
- 479 predicted locations in the genome that might be prone to off-target activity, but is expected to include
- 480 appropriate screening of genome-edited cells for off-target effects that may be missed by in silico
- 481 prediction.
- The on-target genome editing should be fully characterised to establish to what extent the target site
- 483 is correctly edited and if unintended changes has occurred at the target site. In case of differences in
- 484 starting material between batches (e.g. autologous cells) potential differences in off-target effects
- should be evaluated.
- 486 Genome editing is a rapidly evolving field and for the strategy of testing and evaluation of the on-
- 487 target and off-target changes a risk-based approach (EMA/CAT/CPWP/686637/2011) based on current
- scientific knowledge can be applied.
- 489 The persistence of genome editing tools in the cells should be evaluated. Ideally genome editing tools
- 490 should no longer be present when the cells are released for clinical use. The persistence may depend
- on the vector used to introduce the genome editing tools into the cells. Where relevant a release test
- for the presence of genome editing tools should be included.

- 493 Aspects relevant for the engraftment/ in vivo expansion and differentiation (where needed) and (long-
- term) survival of the modified cells should be identified and where needed included in the release
- 495 specifications.

#### 496 **4.3.1**. Identity

- 497 Identity testing should include an assay to detect the presence of the specific cell population as well as
- 498 the intended genetic modification (at DNA level or an assay to detect the presence of the intended
- 499 product translated from the genetic modification on protein level). The test methods should be specific
- for those components.

#### 4.3.2. Purity

501

- 502 Purity is generally related to the intended cell type and to the transduction and genome editing
- efficiency, i.e. percentage of transduced cells and genome edited cells. The degree of purity should be
- defined taking into account the nature and intended use of the product, the method of its production
- and also the degree of consistency of the production process.
- The purity criteria should be established and be within specified limits. Tests should be applied to
- determine levels of other cell types including those unintendedly modified, contaminants of cellular
- origin, e.g. non transduced or unmodified genome edited target cells, cell fragments, as well as
- materials which may have been added during the production processes or cellular impurities. In the
- 510 case of replication deficient viral vectors, tests to show the absence of replication-competent viruses
- are essential; however, if absence of RCV is demonstrated at other levels (for instance at the viral
- 512 vector starting material) no additional testing is required provided that generation of RCVs during
- 513 manufacturing is ruled out by an appropriate risk assessment. The level of infectious particles in the
- final product should be determined and kept below a justified limit. When using transposon vectors or
- 515 genome editing tools, it should be shown that the final cell population is free of transposase or genome
- 516 editing sequences and protein.
- 517 When the foreign nucleic acid sequences have been removed or are being eliminated in the final cell
- 518 population as for transient genetic modification, tests to show the absence of cells carrying the foreign
- 519 nucleic acid sequences are essential.

#### 4.3.3. Potency

- To estimate the potency of the transduced/modified cells, biological tests should be applied to
- determine the functional properties of the cells, where applicable, and those achieved by the genetic
- 523 modification.

- 524 The potency test(s) should provide, as far as possible, quantitative information on the intended
- function of the cell and the transgene product. The choice of the potency assay for release should be
- 526 justified based on the characterisation studies and its feasibility as release assay, taking into account
- 527 practical limitations (e.g. material available or limited shelf life). Wherever possible, a reference batch
- of cells with assigned potency should be established and used to calibrate tests.
- 529 The potency testing should not be limited to cell functionality, but also include other relevant tests,
- e.g. cell viability. Furthermore, where relevant, release tests for the potential to proliferate,
- differentiate and persist after administration should be in place.

- Potency testing for products containing genetically modified T-cells against tumour cells (e.g. CAR-T
- 533 cells) is preferably based on the cytotoxic potential of the T-cells. Assay read-outs could, therefore,
- 534 include actual death of target tumour cells or induction of intracellular pathways and loss of membrane
- integrity (with leakage of intracellular components) shown to lead to irreversible target cell death.
- 536 Surrogate read-outs for biological activity of CAR-T cell products could be the secretion of specific
- 537 cytokines/cytotoxic molecules or expression of activation/degranulation markers by T-cells, provided
- that relation with target cell death is shown. When no autologous tumour material can be used as
- target, the relevance of surrogate target cells should be justified.

#### 4.4. Quality Controls

541 542 **R**e

540

- Release criteria
- In addition to general pharmaceutical tests (e.g. sterility, endotoxin, appearance etc.), release testing
- should include analysis of quantity, identity, purity and potency. Characteristics to address these
- parameters can be deduced from the bullet points provided in the characterisation section.
- The copy number of integrated vectors per transduced or transfected cell as read-out for safety and
- potency should be tested on each batch of final product.
- For genome-edited products, the need to test for on-target and off-target modifications on each batch
- should be considered on a case by case basis.
- When the foreign genetic material has been removed or is being eliminated from the final product, this
- should be demonstrated at release by an appropriate sensitive test.
- For cells transduced with a replication defective vector, the absence of RCV should be demonstrated
- 553 before clinical use. Depending on the risk of RCV formation, omission of analysis for RCV at final
- product level could be justified in case absence of RCV is confirmed at vector release using a validated,
- sensitive assay (or combination of assays).
- In case release testing cannot be performed on the actual product, e.g. when sampling is not possible
- or product quantity is limited, either a surrogate product sample should be tested or analyses should
- be performed with key intermediates. In this case, validity of the analyses being indicative for the final
- product has to be confirmed.
- 560 When the shelf-life of the product does not allow a complete program of control testing prior to
- release, a two-step release testing program may be carried out whereby some release data are
- available only after administration of the product. In such cases, the missing information at first-step
- release should be compensated by an appropriate in process testing and a more extensive process
- validation as outlined above. Such a staggered release testing program should be clearly described and
- 565 justified. In case product material is too limited for full release testing, a reduced programme could be
- justified on a risk-based approach tailored to the individual product specificities.

#### 4.5. Stability Studies

- 568 Stability studies should be conducted according to the principles described in the Guideline on human
- 569 cell-based medicinal products (EMEA/CHMP/410869/2006). Critical quality parameters to be followed
- during stability studies should be defined on the basis of characterisation studies and should be able to
- detect clinically meaningful changes in the product.

607

## 5. Non-Clinical Aspects

- 574 The objective of non-clinical studies is to demonstrate the proof-of-principle and to define the
- 575 pharmacological and toxicological effects predictive of the human response and safety. For the non-
- 576 clinical development of a medicinal product containing genetically modified cells other guidelines listed
- 577 in section 3 should be taken into account. In addition the Guideline on investigational advanced
- therapy medicinal products (ATMPs) which will supersede the Guideline on non-clinical studies required
- 579 before first clinical use of gene therapy medicinal products (EMEA/CHMP/GTWP/125459/2006) should
- 580 also be taken into account.
- The reasons for genetic modification of cells can be diverse and include for example the introduction of
- a functional copy of a mutated gene for the correction of a genetic disease, the enhancement of a
- 583 cellular function for manufacturing or therapeutic purposes, or the introduction of a safety switch for
- elimination of the introduced cells, if needed. In accordance with the purpose of a genetic modification,
- the pharmacodynamic studies may need to be adapted. Therefore, the rationale for the genetic
- modification of the cells and the expected mode of action should be clearly indicated.
- Where appropriate, non-clinical studies should be designed to support dose selection for clinical trials,
- route of administration and application schedule. For the genetically modified cells that are expected to
- 589 proliferate in vivo, such as chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)- and T-cell receptor (TCR)-modified T cells,
- 590 non-clinical dose selection studies may be less informative and dose selection should rather be based
- on clinical experience with other related products.
- 592 Ideally, the non-clinical studies should be carried out with batches of genetically modified cells
- 593 produced and quality controlled according to the production process in place for clinical studies. If this
- is not possible, such as when homologous products are used, the key parameters for efficacy and
- safety of the genetically modified cells used should be evaluated and compared with cells produced and
- 596 controlled according to the clinical production process. Differences in the production processes as well
- as differences in the key parameters of the genetically modified cells should be indicated and the
- 598 potential impact on the predictability of the data should be discussed. State-of-the art and adequately
- 599 qualified techniques should be used.
- The non-clinical studies should be performed in relevant animal models in light of the target cell
- 601 population and clinical indication. *In vitro* models or other non-animal approaches can also be used,
- 602 when appropriate and applicable. Where feasible, several aspects can be addressed in one study. It is
- acknowledged that studies in animal models may be impaired by xenoreactions and/or by transgene
- 604 product species-specificity. In such cases, homologous models or immune-deficient animals might be
- advantageous. Any modification of vector construction and /or of target cells carried out to obtain a
- 606 homologous animal model should be detailed and justified in comparison with the medicinal product.

## 5.1. Pharmacodynamics and Pharmacokinetics

- 608 Irrespective of the type of the genetic modification (genome editing, introduction of regulatory
- sequences, introduction of transgenes), its expected effect(s) should be confirmed at the cellular level.
- 610 Studies may include evaluation of specifically introduced changes in the genome of the cells,
- evaluation of endogenous gene expression after introduction of regulatory exogenous sequences or
- evaluation of expression of transgenes and evaluation of the activity of transgene products.

613	In exceptional cases	the overall behaviour	and function of the	e modified cells ma	v need to be
013	III CACCPHONAI Cascs	the overall behaviour	and function of the	, illouilleu eelis illa	y niceu to be

- 614 investigated in vitro and, if meaningful and feasible, be compared to unmodified cells. In case that the
- 615 unmodified cells are expected to have a therapeutic benefit also, the pharmacological effect of the
- 616 genetically modified cells should be directly compared to the unmodified cells in order to distinguish
- between the effects attributable to the transgene product and the cell component.
- 618 Proof of concept studies that either support the potential clinical effect and/or prove the anticipated
- mode of action should be provided.
- The duration of transgene expression should be evaluated in vivo, unless otherwise justified. Any
- 621 unexpected loss of expression of the transgene should trigger additional investigations in order to
- 622 determine the reasons for the lost expression. For cells that are encapsulated in biocompatible
- 623 material and designed to secrete a gene product, data should be provided to support survival of the
- 624 genetically modified cells in vivo and appropriate secretion activity.
- Any additional measures that have been introduced into the transgene or the modified cells aiming at,
- 626 for example, the regulation of transgene expression or the intended elimination of the genetically
- modified cells should be evaluated for proper function.
- 628 Pharmacokinetic studies should be designed in order to address the *in vivo* fate (biodistribution,
- homing, engraftment, life span) of the genetically modified cells.
- 630 For secreted gene products the distribution and persistence of the transgene product should be
- included in the analysis.
- In case genetically modified cells are encapsulated in biocompatible material in order to prevent
- biodistribution of the cells, appropriate studies should be performed that either demonstrate integrity
- of the biocompatible material in vivo and successful retention of the cells or evaluate the in vivo fate
- 635 (biodistribution, life span) of escaping cells.
- As indicated in the Guideline on non-clinical testing for inadvertent germline transmission of gene
- transfer vectors (EMEA/273974/2005), the risk of germline transmission associated with the
- administration of genetically modified human cells may be considered low and difficult to address in
- 639 non-clinical germline transmission studies. Therefore, omission of such studies is usually justifiable,
- 640 unless the genetically modified cells carry a significantly higher risk for inadvertent germ line
- transmission (e.g. due to mobilisation of integrated vector sequences and vector release).

#### 642 **5.2. Toxicology**

- Toxicological endpoints could be addressed in *in vitro* and/or *in vivo* studies which should be designed
- to investigate any adverse effects induced by the genetically modified cells. For general requirements
- 645 for toxicological evaluation of cell-based medicinal products reference is made to the Guideline on
- 646 human cell-based medicinal products (EMEA/CHMP/410869/2006).
- In addition, the following considerations should be addressed for genetically modified cells:
- toxicity related to the expression of a transgene
- risk of insertional mutagenesis
  - vector mobilisation and recombination
- aspects related to specific product classes such as immune cells (CAR and TCR modified T-cells, NK cells), induced pluripotent stem cells (iPS cells), and *ex vivo* gene edited cells

654

#### Toxicity related to the expression of a transgene

- Toxic effects may be caused by the expressed transgene products. Transgene products may induce untoward effects to the carrier cells or to the administered host if expressed at non-physiological
- levels, in ectopic locations, or if they induce an immune reaction.
- The potential for toxic effects of a transgene product to the carrier cells need to be evaluated in vitro to
- ensure that the genetically modified cells retain their normal physiological function and do not acquire
- 660 features that would influence their in vivo functionality.
- 661 Toxicology studies should be designed to capture any adverse effects caused by the expressed
- transgenes locally or systemically. The information on extent and duration of a transgene expression
- should guide the design and duration of a toxicity study. Potential immune response to the transgene
- product in a non-homologous system may result in a premature clearance of the transgene product
- and should be addressed as it may reduce the validity of the toxicity study.

666667

#### Insertional oncogenesis

- When cells are transduced with integrating vectors (e.g. gamma-retroviral or lentiviral), the risk of
- insertional oncogenesis needs to be carefully evaluated in accordance with the Reflection paper on
- 670 management of clinical risks deriving from insertional mutagenesis (EMA/CAT/190186/2012). Critical
- factors that may contribute to the risk of oncogenesis include the insertion profile of the chosen vector,
- the vector design including the choice of enhancer and promoter sequences, the vector copy number
- per cell, the transgene product, and the target cell population. Thereby, any strategy aiming at
- 674 reducing the risk of insertional oncogenesis, for example the use of a gamma-retroviral or lentiviral
- vector with SIN configuration, should be indicated.
- 676 For a genetically modified clonal cell line, the site(s) of vector integration should be determined and
- any vector integration at critical sites (e.g. near proto-oncogenes) should be avoided. Moreover, the
- integration site(s) should be demonstrated to not induce insertional oncogenesis, unless otherwise
- 679 justified.
- 680 For genetically modified autologous or allogenic cell populations rare events of vector integrations at
- critical sites may not be excluded when using random or semi-random integrating vectors. Predictive
- 682 nonclinical data may often not be gained from in vivo animal studies as due to immunogenicity, the
- autologous human cells cannot be tested in animals. Also, homologous models with representative
- animal cells are in most cases not considered to provide meaningful information for human safety as
- the source and the manufacturing of the cells as well as the integration pattern of the vector may be
- different between the animal and the human cells. Therefore, the risk of insertional oncogenesis may
- need to be primarily based on the knowledge on the vector insertional profile, the transactivating
- 688 potential of the enhancer and promoter sequences used for driving expression of the transgene, the
- proliferative potential of the target cells, and the knowledge on the resistance of the target cells
- 690 towards cell transformation. For allogeneic products depending on the shelf life of the product, it may
- be possible to perform an *in vitro* insertion site analysis before administration to humans. Ultimately,
- the risk needs to be monitored and mitigated in clinical studies by frequent analyses of insertion sites
- and clonality of the patients' cells after treatment.
- For a targeted integration of vector sequences at a pre-determined site, the chosen integration site
- should be demonstrated to be safe and the specificity of the targeted integration should be evaluated.

697

702

708

714

734

735

736

737

#### Vector mobilisation and recombination

698 The risk for vector mobilisation and recombination with endogenous wild type viruses should be 699 evaluated based on the choice of the vector, the vector design, the target cell population and the 700 target patient population. Only if an increased risk for these events is evident, non-clinical studies

701 addressing vector mobilisation and recombination should be performed.

#### 5.3. Product class-specific considerations

703 This chapter contains the scientific principles and guidance on nonclinical development of genetically 704 modified cells including CAR-T cell and TCR products, induced pluripotent stem cell derived cell-based 705 products and cell-based products derived from genome editing. Given the limited clinical experience 706 with such products to date as well as the fast evolution of science in this area, the recommendations in 707 this chapter should be considered as points for consideration rather than prescriptive guidance.

#### Immune cells (CAR and TCR modified T cells, NK cells)

709 In case of CAR and TCR modified immune cells potential on-target/off-tumour and off-target toxicities 710 need to be addressed as far as possible either in an appropriate animal model or by an alternative 711 approach using a combination of in silico and in vitro analyses. The alternative approach for addressing 712 on-target/off-tumour toxicities is usually indicated for TCR modified immune cells and for CARs 713 containing a scFv that does only recognize the human epitope. The alternative approach should include

in depth analyses of expression of the target antigen in human organs, tissues and cells.

715 Investigation of expression of the target antigen is usually performed by analysing cells and tissues 716 from healthy individuals. Literature research may help to clarify whether the target antigen might be 717 differently expressed under certain (patho-)physiological conditions. The expression of a tumour-718 specific antigen in the target cells should be confirmed. Finally, human cells with and without 719 expression of the target antigen should be tested in vitro for recognition by the CAR or TCR modified

720 immune cells.

721 In case a homologous animal model using a different scFv that recognizes the orthologue epitope is 722 used for addressing on-target/off tumour toxicities of CAR modified immune cells, caution is needed for 723 translating such data to human, since the expression pattern and levels of the expressed target 724 antigen in human and the animal model as well as the affinity for the target antigen of the two scFv 725 may differ. Moreover, potential off-target toxicity may not be addressed in such a model due to the use 726 of a different scFv.

727 For addressing potential off-target toxicities of TCR modified immune cells, the chosen strategy may be 728 adapted to the expected likelihood for cross-reactivity of the TCR. For example, the likelihood of a TCR 729 isolated from human for cross-recognition of human self-peptides may be expected to be low due to 730 the induction of central tolerance which should have eliminated T cells with a high-affinity TCR for 731 human self-peptides. For TCRs derived from xenogeneic sources and affinity-maturated TCRs, on the 732 other hand, a similarly diminished risk of cross-reactivity cannot be assumed. Therefore, a more

733 rigorous testing strategy is needed for such TCRs.

> Off-target toxicity testing of TCR modified immune cells should include in vitro testing for binding of the TCR modified immune cells to self-peptides presented on the same HLA allele as the target peptide. The chosen self-peptides and the extent of the study should be justified. Moreover, it should be investigated, whether the target peptide is shared with other related or unrelated proteins.

- 738 If the TCR has a certain likelihood for cross-reactivity the minimal recognition motif of the target
- 739 peptide should be defined and used for in silico analyses evaluating cross-reactivity. If potential cross-
- reactive peptides have been identified in silico, cells expressing the corresponding protein and/or
- 741 presenting the potentially cross-reactive peptide should be analysed for recognition by the TCR
- modified immune cells. If cross-reactivity cannot be ruled out, a risk evaluation should be performed
- 543 based on the expression pattern of the protein corresponding to the potentially cross-reactive peptide
- 743 based on the expression pattern of the protein corresponding to the potentially cross-reactive peptil
- and the affinity of the TCR to the potentially cross-reactive peptide.

- In order to obtain information on potential cross-reactivity of the TCR with other HLA alleles, an
- 747 adequate HLA allo-reactivity screen needs to be performed.
- For TCR modified T-cells, potential mispairing between the introduced TCR chains and the endogenous
- TCRs need to be addressed. Strategies implemented in the design of the introduced TCR chains to
- reduce potential mispairing needs to be described and justified.

751752

#### Cell-based products derived from iPS cells

- The risk of insertional mutagenicity and oncogenicity related to therapeutic use of iPS cell derivatives
- are associated with the use of integrating viral vectors and the induced pluripotency.
- The considerations related to the risk of insertional mutagenesis related to integrating viral vectors are
- 756 highlighted above.
- 757 iPS cells carry an inherent risk of tumourigenicity as they form teratomas in vivo. Reference is made to
- the Reflection paper on stem cell-based medicinal products (EMA/CAT/571134/2009) about the control
- of the manufacturing process and nonclinical testing strategies to address the pluripotency-related risk
- of tumourigenicity.
- The nonclinical qualification of the level of undifferentiated iPS cell impurities can be addressed in an
- 762 in vivo study by e.g. spiking the administered cell product with undifferentiated iPS cells in different
- 763 quantities. Risk of tumour potential can also be addressed in a toxicity study of sufficient duration.
- Stand alone in vivo tumourigenicity studies are, however, not required. The tumourigenic risk can be
- 765 mitigated by inclusion of a suicide mechanism to the iPS cells. The functionality of such a suicide
- 766 mechanism should be confirmed in vivo.
- Reprogramming, either through the pluripotent stem cell stage or through trans-differentiation, may
- 768 induce epigenetic changes in the cells with consequences that are not yet fully understood. A variety of
- high-throughput methods are available for evaluation of the genetic and epigenetic profiles of the iPS
- 770 cell lines and their derivatives.
- 771 In order to address the potential abnormal features caused by epigenetic changes of the iPS cell-
- derived cells, nonclinical in vitro and/or in vivo data should be produced to demonstrate appropriate
- pehaviour and physiological function of the cells to be administered to humans. Toxicity studies should
- include evaluation of any untoward effects caused by abnormal behaviour of the administered cells. A
- 775 combination of quality characterisation data, nonclinical safety data and literature data should provide
- an in-depth risk assessment and discussion on the risk mitigation measures to safe-guard the patients.
- 777 Sufficient information on the genetic and epigenetic profiles of the iPS cell derivatives and
- understanding of the associated potential safety issues should be available before administration into
- 779 patients.

#### Cell-based products derived from genome editing

- 782 In addition to the common requirements for genetically modified cells the following aspects need to be 783 addressed for genome edited cells: the specificity of the modifying enzyme activity or guide RNA for 784 the targeted genomic sequence needs to be confirmed in vitro by evaluating on-target and off-target 785 editing in relevant cells. While prediction of potential off-target activity may include in silico analysis, 786 the chosen strategy for addressing off-target activity should also include an unbiased genome-wide 787 evaluation of off-target activity in vitro. Thereby, the chosen strategy should be justified and the 788 sensitivity of the methods used should be indicated. Finally, the predictability of the non-clinical data 789 on off-target activity should be carefully evaluated with regard, for example, to species-specific 790 differences, differences in the (patho-)physiological state of the cells or differences in the cell types. 791 Effects of genome editing on cell phenotype and physiological functions should be analysed where 792 indicated.
- Careful consideration should be put on the selection of a relevant animal model for toxicity testing. The chosen animal model and the duration of toxicity studies should allow evaluation of consequences of off-target toxicity and potential immunogenicity towards the genome edited cells.

796

797

798

781

## 6. Clinical Aspects

#### 6.1. General Considerations

- 799 This section considers pre-authorisation studies aiming at evaluating safety and efficacy of the 800 genetically modified cells. These include, but are not limited to, genetically modified T-cell products 801 with a chimeric antigen receptor (CAR-T-cells) or T-cell receptor (TCRs), as well as CD34 positive cells 802 developed for treatment of severe immune deficiencies. As of now, the clinical evidence to support 803 specific clinical guidance on studies with ex vivo gene edited cells or IPS cells is considered insufficient. 804 Nevertheless, common principles apply in terms of benefit/risk assessment based on quality and 805 nonclinical considerations, tumourigenicity, target indication, patient population and unmet medical 806 need.
- The clinical trials should be designed to allow a benefit/risk assessment, based on the specific characteristics of the product (transduced cells), the target indication (case-by-case) and existing treatments. While the same principles apply as for other medicinal products in terms of characterising pharmacodynamics, pharmacokinetics, safety and efficacy, the distinctive features of the products need to be taken into account.

#### 812 These include:

813

814

815

816

- complexity of products, product characteristics and manufacturing considerations, e.g. difficulties in the collection and handling of source material, differences between allogeneic vs. autologous origin of the cells
- limitations with regards to the extrapolation from animal data: starting dose, biodistribution, immunogenicity, on-and off-target effects and tumourigenicity
- uncertainty about frequency, duration and nature of side effects, persistence in humans and
   immunogenicity

- uncertainty about malignant transformation (e.g. in case of integrating vector), tumourigenicity
   e.g. in case of integrating vector
- the need for long-term efficacy and safety follow-up, based on prolonged biological activity and/or
   persistence of cells
- 824 administration procedures/delivery to target site
- collection procedures, e.g. apheresis, and concomitant medication, e.g. lymphodepleting
   chemotherapy
- These distinctive features have an impact on the trial design, specifically with regards to early phase
- 828 trials and dose selection, pharmacodynamics, pharmacokinetics/biodistribution, while the general
- 829 principles in late phase trials to demonstrate efficacy and safety in the specific therapeutic area are
- less affected and are essentially the same as for other products.
- In exceptional cases, there may be a need to determine as far as possible whether the observed
- 832 clinical effect is attributable to the gene product, the transduced cells or to both. This information may
- 833 further inform the posology (i.e. dose and application frequency) as well as establish quality control
- assay and specification (e.g. potency test).
- 835 The delivery of the genetically modified cells to the target organ and tissue will require intravascular
- 836 delivery, percutaneous administration or administration through specific surgical procedures to obtain
- the intended therapeutic effect. The therapeutic procedure as a whole including the collection
- 838 procedure (e.g. apheresis, bone-marrow aspiration), lymphodepleting regimen, method of
- administration and eventually the required concomitant medication such as immunosuppressive
- 840 regimens needs to be investigated when considering the benefit/risk balance. This needs to be taken
- into account in the clinical trial design e.g. in terms of defining time of randomisation and ITT
- 842 population.

#### 6.2. Dose selection

- The goal of selecting a starting dose is to identify a dose that is expected to have a pharmacological
- effect and is safe to use. The assessment of a safe and minimal effective dose should be followed by
- further dose exploration. If appropriate, a maximum tolerable dose should be assessed, for example in
- 847 oncology and haematology indications. Also, the correlation between exposure and effect should be
- evaluated with the goal to establish an effective dose range and recommended dose for evaluation in
- 849 further (late phase) trials.
- 850 Selection of a starting dose might be hampered by uncertainties related to the relevance of in vivo
- non-clinical studies to predict a safe (starting) dose and dose escalation steps. For example, in case of
- genetically modified CD34 positive cells developed for treatment of severe immune deficiencies,
- 853 differences in engraftment, differentiation, persistence and immunogenicity between animals and
- humans limit the predictive value of non-clinical PD, PK, toxicity and dose-finding studies.
- In such cases it is accepted that the rationale for dose, schedule, and administration procedure is
- 856 based on the totality of data considered relevant to define a safe dose in humans.
- These include product-specific attributes like cell type and origin (autologous versus allogeneic),
- 858 transduction efficiency, number of transduced cells versus non-transduced cells, mean number of
- vector copies per cell and cell viability, potency and biologic activity, type of co-stimulatory molecule,
- 860 and transgene expression. In addition patient-specific attributes should be considered, such as type

- and aetiology of the disease, genetic background, age, gender, pre-treatment and tumour burden in
- 862 case of oncological indications.
- 863 In case a concomitant preceding conditioning regimen is required, the initial dosing can be derived
- 864 from hematopoietic transplantation, taking into account the necessity to apply a minimum dose of
- 865 CD34 positive cells required to ensure engraftment, and to avoid prolonged bone marrow suppression.
- 866 Although Advanced Therapies are exempt from the scope of the "Guideline on strategies to identify and
- 867 mitigate risks for first-in-human and early clinical trials with investigational medicinal products"
- 868 (EMEA/CHMP/SWP/28367/07 Rev. 1) the outlined principles to mitigate risk are applicable. These
- 869 include adequate waiting periods between administration of treatment to first and subsequent patients
- 870 to allow assessment of acute toxicities, and implementation of stopping rules to halt the trial or
- prevent further patient recruitment.

#### 6.3. Pharmacodynamics

- 873 A common objective of early phase trials is to assess the pharmacodynamic activity of the product. For
- genetically modified cells, PD assessment includes e.g. stem cell engraftment, assessment of numbers
- of target cells and production of pharmacologically active levels of target protein/enzyme, or, in the
- case of CAR T-cells, assessment of immune effector mechanisms, cytokine levels, and tumour cell
- 877 killing.

872

- The duration of the observed changes of these parameters should be monitored.
- Other relevant pharmacodynamic markers should be chosen on a case-by-case basis, depending on
- 880 both product and condition specific attributes. Appropriate and up-to-date bioanalytical assays should
- be used.

882

#### 6.4. Pharmacokinetics

- As described in the Guideline on human cell-based medicinal products (EMEA/CHMP/410869/2006),
- 884 conventional absorption/distribution/metabolism/elimination studies are usually not relevant for cells.
- However, the cellular kinetics, biodistribution and persistence of genetically modified cells as well as
- the level of the transgene production in the target and non-target tissues need to be assessed.
- 887 Different considerations however apply for assessing pharmacokinetics and biodistribution of different
- types of genetically modified cell-based products, e.g. in case of CAR-T cells products the entire
- transduced cell (i.e. CAR-T cell) is required to deliver the therapeutic effect, and should thus be the
- main target for the pharmacokinetic analysis. On the other hand, for genetically modified cells intended
- 891 to deliver a functional enzyme, the target of the pharmacokinetic analysis should include the target
- 892 enzyme.

899

- 893 Attention should be paid to the monitoring of the viability, proliferation / differentiation, body
- 894 distribution / migration and in vivo functionality of the genetically modified cells. The methodology
- used and its limitations should be discussed.
- 896 With regard to the transgene expression protein if applicable its pharmacokinetic properties need to be
- 897 evaluated. The principles described in the guideline on the clinical investigation of the
- 898 pharmacokinetics of therapeutic proteins should be considered.

## 900 Immunogenicity

901 Assessment of immunogenicity needs to take into account clinically relevant immune responses to the 902 transgene product and/or to the transduced cells. The risk for immunogenicity is influenced by the 903 origin of transduced cells (allogeneic versus autologous), the nature of the disease (immune deficient 904 versus immune competent patient, total absence vs. defective gene product), the type of conditioning 905 regimen, the pre-existing immune response against the transgene product as well as the location of 906 the transgene product (intracellular versus extracellular/secreted). An immune response to the cells 907 and/or the transgene product may compromise efficacy and have an impact on safety, also in cases of 908 single administration. Thus, the immunogenicity testing should be conducted throughout the 909 development.

#### 6.5. Clinical Efficacy

910

932

- The study design and duration should be based on the existing guidelines for the specific therapeutic area. Any major deviation(s) from these guidelines should be explained and discussed.
- The clinical trials should be designed with the objective to establish clinically relevant outcomes. The
- 914 link to the genetically modified cells and their engraftment and /or the gene product expression level
- and /or the gene product activity level should be addressed taking into account the known PD. The
- 916 trials should also be planned to evaluate the duration of the therapeutic effect of the product. If
- 917 multiple treatments are considered, the treatment schedule should be discussed also in the light of the
- 918 pharmacokinetic properties of the transgene product as well as of the cell type if applicable (e.g. as in
- 919 the case of genetically modified cells for cancer immunotherapy).
- 920 In certain cases, and linked to the pharmacology of the product, clinical efficacy is assessed after a
- 921 considerable period post treatment, e.g. in cases engraftment in a tissue is required. The
- 922 establishment of beneficial effects at the time of authorisation could potentially be based on
- 923 intermediate endpoints that are reasonably likely to translate into clinical benefit, but do not directly
- measure the clinical benefit. If such approach is proposed, the suitability of the intermediate endpoint
- should be discussed, and its ability to establish or predict the clinical benefit justified based on the
- 926 available evidence. In particular, the applicant should discuss the level of certainty with which the
- 927 intermediate endpoint predicts clinical benefit, and why any remaining uncertainties would be
- 928 acceptable. If the intended outcome of the therapy is the long-term persistence and functionality of
- the genetically modified cells/transgene expression product, this should be reflected with an adequate
- 930 duration of clinical trial observation and follow-up. The design and duration of follow-up has to be
- 931 specified in the protocol and might be completed post- marketing.

#### 6.6. Clinical Safety

- 933 The safety database should be large enough to detect relevant short- and medium-term adverse
- events that may be associated with the use and/or application procedure of the genetically modified
- 935 cells and enabling a meaningful benefit risk assessment.
- The risk of the therapeutic procedure as a whole, including i) the risk associated with cell procurement
- 937 in an autologous setting, ii) the risk of administration procedures, as well as iii) the risk of any required
- 938 concomitant therapy e.g. the use of immunosuppressive therapy or preceding conditioning should be
- 939 taken into consideration.
- As for any other biological product, there is a risk of infection from unknown adventitious agents;
- therefore patients should be monitored for signs of infections.

- The possibility that transduced cells, intentionally designed for this purpose or not, release any vector
- or plasmid *in vivo* should be investigated. The design and extent of such investigations shall depend on
- the properties of the construct and the outcome of the non-clinical studies.
- The risk of delayed adverse reactions and of decreasing efficacy for genetically modified cells is related
- to the actual risk profile of the vector used for the genetic modification of the cell, the nature of the
- gene product, the life-span (persistence) of the modified cells, and the biodistribution. In relation to a
- 948 possible life-long persistence of genetically modified stem or progenitor cells, special risk for delayed
- 949 effects associated with the integrated vector and its expressed products should be considered (e.g.
- 950 oncogenesis, immunogenicity or vector reactivation).
- 951 If additional information of importance for the risk evaluation is becoming available during a clinical
- 952 trial or post-marketing, the applicant should change the risk stratification and implement this in a
- 953 revised clinical follow-up plan.

966

#### 6.7. Clinical Follow-up

- 955 The clinical follow-up of patients enrolled in clinical trials with genetically modified cells should be
- 956 ensured according to the principles laid down in the Guideline on follow-up of patients administered
- 957 with gene therapy medicinal products (EMEA/CHMP/GTWP/60436/2007) to detect early or delayed
- 958 adverse reactions, a change in the efficacy profile, or additional unexplored risks with genetically
- 959 modified cell products. The clinical follow-up should take into consideration existing non-clinical and
- 960 clinical information obtained with the gene therapy medicinal product under investigation. Experience
- 961 with other similar genetically modified cell products or cell type or transgene product should be
- carefully considered as to its relevance for the product under investigation.
- 963 If there is a risk of late onset of an adverse event (such as development of leukaemia or other
- secondary malignancies, or an identified risk of tumourigenicity on a mechanistic basis), measures
- have to be put in place to address this risk.

## 7. Pharmacovigilance

- 967 The rules for pharmacovigilance (including immediate or periodic reporting) are described in the
- 968 Guideline on good pharmacovigilance practices (GVP). For genetically modified cells, the EU Risk
- Management Plan (RMP) requirements are described in the Guideline on safety and efficacy follow-up
- and risk management of Advanced Therapy Medicinal Products.
- 971 Genetically modified cells may need specific long-term studies to monitor safety issues including lack of
- efficacy and risk of vector dissemination or reactivation.
- 973 The long-term safety issues, such as infections, immunogenicity/immunosuppression and malignant
- 974 transformation as well as the durability of the associated medical device/biomaterial component should
- 975 be addressed in the Risk Management Plan. Specific pharmaco-epidemiological studies may be needed.
- Those requirements are related to the vector type and to the biological characteristics of transduced
- 977 cells.

978

#### 8. Environmental Risk Assessment

- Human cells cannot proliferate in the environment as they can only survive in the human body or in
- 980 vitro culture conditions. It follows that, in the case of human cells genetically modified, the risks to the
- 981 environment are mainly linked to the viral vector. For products falling within the scope of the Good

Practice on the assessment of GMO-related aspects in the context of clinical trials with human cells genetically-modified by means of retro/lentiviral vectors, reference to the specific ERA provided therein will suffice at the time of Marketing Authorization Application. For other products, a specific ERA should be presented in accordance with the Guideline on scientific requirements for the environmental risk assessment of gene therapy medicinal products (EMEA/CHMP/GTWP/125491/2006).

982

983

984

985

#### Annex I: Special clinical considerations on CAR-T-cells

This Annex contains CAT and CHMP's current thinking on clinical development of CAR-T cells, based on limited clinical experience, and should be regarded as points for consideration rather than prescriptive guidance. The CAT/CHMP reserves the right to adapt and revise the content in this Annex to take account of the fast evolution of clinical experience and science in this area.

993 994 995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

988

989

990

991

992

#### Pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics and dose finding

The pharmacokinetics of CAR-T cells performed as a part of exploratory clinical studies should characterise the cellular kinetics including CAR-T-cell levels and their expansion and persistence in blood and target tissues at relevant time points. Assessment of *in vivo* cell kinetics should include relevant parameters like AUCd28, Cmax, Tmax, and T1/2 using appropriate bioanalytical methods, e.g. qPCR for quantification of the CAR specific transgene and flow cytometry, to quantify CAR T cells in blood and other target tissues. Conventional drug-drug interaction studies and studies in renal and hepatic impairment are not applicable to CAR-T cells. However, impact of certain concomitant treatments, such as steroids, may need to be addressed in light of the immunosuppressive action of steroids and potential interference with CAR-T cell pharmacokinetics.

Due to *in vivo* proliferation and expansion of CAR-T cells classical dose-finding studies are less applicable. While dose escalation studies have shown higher toxicity with higher CAR-T cell doses, additional factors such as tumour type (i.e. liquid versus solid tumour), antigen expression/density and disease burden contribute to exposure and toxicity.

Altogether a sound rationale for the dosing regimen to be used in confirmatory studies should be provided, considering i) non-clinical data and available clinical data, ii) product specific factors like transduction efficiency, proliferation capacity, and iii) disease-specific criteria like tumour type, antigen expression and tumour load.

1013 1014

#### Efficacy

- For CAR-T cells the same basic principles to demonstrate efficacy applies as for other anticancer medicinal products. Phase III confirmatory trials should aim to establish the benefit-risk profile of the product in a well-defined patient population, based on valid primary endpoints, a randomized controlled design and a comprehensive safety data base. As a general rule, the clinical guidance as described in the Guideline on the evaluation of anticancer medicinal products in man (EMA/CHMP/205/95/Rev.4) is to be followed.
- 1021 It is recognised that the first clinical developments are foreseen in the late stage/refractory disease.
  1022 Refractory settings are clinically very different from early settings, which in some cases may justify
  1023 different requirements in terms level of evidence for MAA.
- 1024 CAR-T cell specific aspects like dose-selection and timing of response assessment are expected to be
  1025 based on the results of exploratory trials. If a dose-range rather than a fixed dose of CAR-T cells is
  1026 applied in confirmatory studies this should be well justified based on cell source (allogeneic versus
  1027 autologous) and product-and patient-specific considerations. Developers are encouraged to conduct
  1028 randomized controlled trials from the early stages of clinical development.
- The design of the confirmatory study should follow a randomized controlled design, comparing CAR-T cell treatment to a reference regimen. In a high grade lymphoma setting this could for example be

1031 high dose chemotherapy followed by autologous stem cell transplantation. In planning for confirmatory

trials care should be taken to adhere to the intention-to-treat (ITT) principle in assessing efficacy, and

1033 in defining the ITT population as all patients enrolled, both in the CAR T cell and in the comparator

arm. Additional subgroup analyses can be defined in the CAR T cell arm for e.g. the apheresed

- population, lymphodepleted population and treated/infused population.
- 1036 The randomized controlled trial design should be followed also in such cases where late stage
- 1037 refractory disease settings are selected or where reference therapies are not available. In such cases
- 1038 comparison to best supportive care or treatment based on investigator's choice is expected to provide
- 1039 evidence of efficacy and is preferred over single arm trials.
- As for other anticancer products, DFS/EFS, PFS and OS are considered generally accepted end points
- 1041 in confirmatory trials, while ORR and Duration of response are considered more appropriate in the
- 1042 exploratory trial setting.
- The long-term outcomes of CAR-T cell therapy remain largely to be established, although early studies
- 1044 have reported durable long-term responses in individual patients. If scientific evidence evolves to
- demonstrate that these therapies can be considered curative in nature, it will have consequences on
- 1046 study designs and the efficacy requirements in terms of MAA. As of today, data are also considered
- insufficient to give specific regulatory guidance on the feasibility of ASCT/HSCT after treatment with
- 1048 CART-cell products for haematological malignancies.

## 1050 Safety

1049

1056

- 1051 CAR-T cells are known to elicit acute toxicities that are linked to their pharmacokinetic and
- pharmacodynamic properties, resulting in a narrow therapeutic index. The main adverse drug reactions
- 1053 (ADRs) described so far are based on the experience with CD19 targeting CAR-T cells in leukemia and
- 1054 lymphoma patients and are described as cytokine release syndrome, neurotoxity and B cell depletion.
- Between different CD19 targeting CAR-T cells the type and severity of ADRs is variable, dependent on
- other antigens and/or other hematological or oncological malignancies. Adverse events can also occur
- other antigens and/or other hematological or oncological manghancies. Adverse events can also oc
- 1058 as symptoms of the underlying malignancy, be linked to the lymphodepleting regimen, such as
- myelosuppression and infections or be linked to the apheresis procedure. In summary, attempts should
- 1060 be made to assess the causality of adverse events in relation to CAR-T cell related procedures as well

product and patient characteristics. A broader range of ADRs is expected for CAR-T cells targeting

- 1061 as to the CAR-T cell product itself.
- 1062 In order to generate high quality and informative safety data considerations should be given a) to
- 1063 define expected and unexpected adverse events based on non-clinical data generated with the product
- as well as clinical experience with other CAR-T cells, b) to plan for duration of patient hospitalization in
- relation to expected serious adverse events, c) to decide on algorithm for detecting and treating
- potential life-threatening toxicities, d) to plan the duration of the studies and of patient follow for
- 1067 detection of late toxicities.
- 1068 Altogether, it is important to plan for a solid and comprehensive data base that allows to fully
- 1069 characterize CAR-T cell product- as well as procedure-related adverse events, including apheresis and
- 1070 lymphodepletion, and to support a thorough benefit-risk assessment for marketing authorisation.